Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/705,927	KLOBA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DAVID FABER	2178
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>DAVID FABER</u> .	(3)	
(2) Omar Amin.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 February 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ⊠ Telephonic  □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: □ No		
Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed: Independent claims rejected under 103(a)		
Claims discussed: Claim 1 and its parallel claims		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
/David Faber/ Examiner, Art Unit 2178	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/705,927

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In summary, Examiner contacted Mr. Amin about doing an Examiner's Amendment to put the case includevance by requesting to move the limitation disclosing an object pointer comprising a vitable pointer from Claim 9 into Claim 1 and its parallel independent claims. Mr Amin agreed to the request. Furthermore, Mr Amin and Examiner Faber discuss resolving the other issues recited in the previous Office action to move the case into allowance. Mr Amin provided Examiner Faber with proposed amendments for the Examiner's Amendment that overcame all the issues along with the moving the limitation into the independent claims.